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Figure S1. Each amino acid residue represents a node in the protein network. The presence of atomic contacts within the cutoff distance (5 Å) ensures the link between two nodes (i.e. the edge) in the protein network. The edges are weighted according to the number of atomic contacts for each residue pair. The picture shows a general example (not directly related to IGPS) for the construction of connections between three residues and assignment of weights to existing edges.
Figure S2. Comparison between hydrogen bonds modifications observed for loop1 in the MD simulations of apo and PRFAR-bound complexes (left panels) and perturbations of heavy atoms contacts detected by means of the perturbation network analysis (right panel). A weight threshold $w_t = 6$ is used for the 3D representation of the network.
Figure S3. Comparison between ionic interactions modifications observed for $h\alpha_1$, $fa_2$ and $fa_3$ in the MD simulations of apo and PRFAR-bound complexes (left panels) and perturbations of heavy atoms contacts detected by means of the perturbation network analysis (right panel). A weight threshold $w_t = 6$ is used for the 3D representation of the network.
Figure S4. Schematic representation of contacts between the invariant hY136 residue in hβ8 and residues fV248, fR249 and fL250 in the C-terminal domain of HisF, showing the change of H-bonding between hY136 and fN247 that brings hY136 closer to the flexible C-terminus.
Figure S5. Representative configurations extracted from the MD simulations of the apo (top panels) and PRFAR bound (bottom panels) IGPS complexes, showing the hR18-fE67 salt-bridge disruption and the resulting partial unfolding of hα1 helix (propagating towards the active site via the Ω-loop) and rearrangement of interactions between polar/charged residues in hα1 and hα4 helices and the fa2-fβ3 turn.
**Figure S6.** Analysis of the types of pair contacts detected by the perturbation networks using contacts between heavy atoms (top panels) and between all atoms including hydrogens (bottom panels). Left panels plots show the total number of pair contacts (dotted lines) and the percentage of pair contacts in the perturbation networks according to the type of interactions, which are defined as following: different charge (yellow lines) = R or K with D or E; same charge (green lines) = R with K or D with E; hydrophobic (blue lines) = I, L, V, M, F, W, C, P, G, A with themselves; polar (magenta lines) = S, T, N, O, Y, H with themselves. Note that since all histidine residues are not protonated (according to standard protonation at pH=7 for this enzyme), H is considered as a polar residue. Right panels maps show the contributions of specific amino acids to the pair contacts for a given perturbation weight threshold ($w_t = 5$ for heavy atoms and $w_t = 20$ for all atoms), with boxes highlighting the type of interactions involved.
**Figure S7.** Representative configurations extracted from the MD simulations of the apo (left panels) and PRFAR bound (right panels) IGPS complexes, showing the effects of PRFAR binding to the interactions between the hM121 residue (features several contacts perturbations in the network analysis) and the invariant fR5, fK99 and fE167 residues that belong to the ammonia tunnel gate of the HisF barrel (top panels) and with the highly conserved fD98 (bottom panels) of the structurally important fD98–hK181 salt–bridge anchor.