Energetics of the $S_2$ State Spin Isomers of the Oxygen-Evolving Complex of Photosystem II

David J. Vinyard,†§ Sahr Khan,‡§ Mikhail Askerka, Victor S. Batista,* and Gary W. Brudvig*†

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8107, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The $S_2$ redox intermediate of the oxygen-evolving complex in photosystem II (PSII) is present as two spin isomers. The $S = 1/2$ isomer gives rise to a multline electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) signal at $g = 2.0$, whereas the $S = 5/2$ isomer exhibits a broad EPR signal at $g = 4.1$. The electronic structures of these isomers are known, but their role in the catalytic cycle of water oxidation remains unclear. We show that formation of the $S = 1/2$ state from the $S = 5/2$ state is exergonic at temperatures above 160 K. However, the $S = 1/2$ isomer decays to $S_1$ more slowly than the $S = 5/2$ isomer. These differences support the hypotheses that the $S_1$ state is formed via the $S_2$ state $S = 5/2$ isomer and that the stabilized $S_2$ state $S = 1/2$ isomer plays a role in minimizing $S_2\mathbf{Q}^-_A$ decay under light-limiting conditions.

INTRODUCTION

In the catalytic cycle of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of photosystem II (PSII), redox intermediates of the Mn4CaO5 complex are known as $S_i$ states ($i = 0−4$). In each turn of the S-state cycle, four oxidizing equivalents generated by photochemical charge separation events are used to oxidize two water molecules, forming one molecule of O2 and reducing two molecules of plastoquinone to plastoquinol. Protons taken from the stroma and released into the lumen contribute to the transmembrane proton-motive force. The structure of the OEC in the dark-stable $S_1$ state has been determined using a combination of X-ray crystallography, extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy, and quantum mechanical calculations. Multiple lines of evidence (reviewed in ref 11) suggest that the $S_1$ state contains two Mn3+ and two Mn4+. However, an alternative hypothesis asserts that the $S_1$ state contains either (Mn3+)4 or (Mn3+)(Mn4+)2(Mn4+). The $S_2$ state is produced from the $S_1$ resting state by either continuous illumination at 130−220 K or by a single-turnover flash at ambient temperature. The $S_2$ state is paramagnetic and has been extensively studied using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and EXAFS spectroscopy techniques. No proton is released during the $S_1$ to $S_2$ transition, and one Mn ion is oxidized from Mn3+ to Mn4+. The remaining Mn3+ center in the OEC in the $S_2$ state can be present at the Mn1 or Mn4 position (see Figure 1 for numbering). When Mn4 is Mn3+, the OEC has a “closed cubane” motif and the ground spin state ($S$) is 5/2 (Figure 1A). When Mn1 is Mn3+, the OEC is in an “open cubane” form and the ground spin state is 1/2 (Figure 1B). The $S = 5/2$ spin isomer produces a nearly isotropic EPR signal at approximately $g = 4.1$, whereas the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer produces a “multline” EPR spectrum centered at $g = 2.0$ (Figure 1C).

Both spin isomers are present in PSII membranes isolated from higher plants. However, the relative intensities of the two EPR signals ($g = 4.1$ and $2.0$) are sensitive to experimental conditions, such as the illumination temperature and choice of cryoprotectant. In native cyanobacterial PSII core complexes, only the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer is observed.

Whereas the electronic structures of the two $S_2$ spin isomers have been established by both experiment and theory, their relative energetics have not been established. Herein, we experimentally determine that the $S = 1/2$ isomer is approximately 0.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the $S = 5/2$ isomer, consistent with quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations, and discuss the chemical mechanism of photosynthetic water oxidation in light of this finding.

METHODS

Spinach PSII membranes were prepared as previously described and suspended to final chlorophyll concentrations of 5−8 mg mL$^{-1}$ in 50 mM MES, 20 mM Ca(OH)$_2$, 10 mM NaCl, 0.01% Triton X-100, and 400 mM sucrose. The pH was adjusted to 6.0 with NaOH.

EPR spectra were recorded using a Bruker ELEXYS E500 spectrometer, equipped with an SHQ cavity and Oxford ESR-900 helium flow cryostat, at 6−7 K. The instrument parameters were as follows: microwave frequency, 9.39 GHz; microwave power, 5 mW; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; modulation...
amplitude, 19.5 G; sweep time, 84 s; conversion time, 41 ms; time constant, 82 ms.

For \( S_\alpha \) state conversion experiments, 0.5 mM phenyl-p-benzoquinone (PPBQ) was added to each EPR sample from a 50 mM stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The EPR samples were illuminated in a quartz nitrogen flow cell at 135 K using a white xenon lamp supplemented with a near-IR LED (\( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 850 \text{ nm} \)). After 4 min, the sample was quickly removed to liquid nitrogen (77 K) in darkness and transferred to the EPR cryostat. For all incubations, the sample was transferred to an equilibrated bath of varying ratios of ethanol/ethylene glycol in dry ice.

For both experiments, incubations were performed in complete darkness and the temperature was continuously monitored using an external thermocouple.

EPR spectra subtractions and curve fittings were obtained using OriginPro 9.1. Temperature fluctuations during EPR measurements were ±0.1 K, leading to subtraction errors specifically in the \( g \approx 4 \) region due to rhombic iron contamination. These errors may affect EPR signal intensity measurements (Figure 1C) and are the predominant source of uncertainty in the values that we report. Nonlinear and linear curve fittings were performed in OriginPro using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm. Energy-minimized parameter values and associated standard errors are reported. For logarithmic plots, error bars span the natural logarithm of the mean ± standard error.

QM/MM calculations were performed as previously described, using the B3LYP functional with the LANL2DZ pseudopotential for Ca and Mn and the 6-31G* basis set for all other atoms for geometry optimizations and 6-31+G** for energy evaluations. The high layer and MM layer were chosen according to the scheme described in our previous models. The AMBER force field was used for all MM layer atoms.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To study the kinetics of conversion of the \( S = 5/2 \) isomer to the \( S = 1/2 \) isomer, we illuminated dark-adapted PSII membranes isolated from spinach, containing the exogenous electron acceptor PPBQ and succrose as a cryoprotectant, at 135 K. Under these conditions, only the \( g = 4.1 \) EPR signal is observed (Figure 2A) because of the presence of near-IR light during illumination (see Methods). After an initial EPR spectrum was obtained, the same sample was incubated in total darkness at 150–242 K, which led to conversion of the \( g = 4.1 \) to the \( g = 2.0 \) signal. For temperatures ≤170 K, the initial rate of conversion could be determined using this method (Figure 2B). Arrhenius analysis of the rate constants predicts an activation barrier of 6.7 ± 0.5 kcal mol\(^{-1} \) (Figure 2C). This barrier is in close agreement with that in a previous study by de Paula et al., who reported an activation barrier of 7.9 ± 1.4 kcal mol\(^{-1} \) for this conversion under similar conditions.

For all tested temperatures, the \( g = 4.1 \) EPR signal decreased and the \( g = 2.0 \) EPR signal increased during dark incubation. This behavior has been previously reported by multiple groups. The \( g = 4.1 \) signal intensity did not approach zero for extended incubation times (Figure 2AB). Instead, the data suggest that a temperature-dependent equilibrium is established (see refs 31 and 34). For temperatures >170 K, the \( g = 4.1 \) signal decayed to a steady-state level in less than 300 s (first time point in Figure 2B). Although we could not determine the rates of \( S_\alpha \) state conversion in this temperature range due to fast conversion, equilibrium constants (defined here as \( K_{eq} = \frac{[g = 2.0]}{[g = 4.1]} \)) could be measured. As shown in Figure 3, \( K_{eq} \) is biphasic with respect to temperature. At temperatures <170 K, the van’t Hoff treatment of the data provides a \( \Delta H^\circ = +31 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) and a \( \Delta S^\circ = +190 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \). At temperatures ≥195 K, the conversion of the \( S = 5/2 \) isomer to the \( S = 1/2 \) isomer is thermodynamically less demanding (\( \Delta H^\circ = +0.40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) and \( \Delta S^\circ = +11 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \)).
temperature stability. We propose that the changes in EPR signal formed by 200 K illumination in terms of its conversion of the S2 spin states at 160 K (Figure S3) further accommodate the thermodynamically preferred temperature, the hydrogen-bonding network can rearrange to near-IR illumination at 77 workers have shown that the supports this hypothesis. Previous studies by Boussac and co-

The biphasic temperature dependence of the S2 state spin isomer conversion may be caused by the surrounding protein environment. Extensive hydrogen-bonding networks involving water molecules, chloride, amino acid side chains, and the protein backbone amides surround the OEC and influence its properties. For example, a second-shell water ligand, labeled Wx, is proposed to play a key role in water delivery to the OEC upon formation of the S1 state. As shown in Figure 4, Wx is a hydrogen-bond donor to the OEC in QM/MM structures of the S1 state and the S2 state S = 5/2 isomer. However, this hydrogen bond is broken upon formation of the S2 state S = 1/2 isomer due to a dramatic decrease in the μ-oxo (O4) pK

An H/D kinetic isotope effect of 2.5 associated with the conversion of the S1 spin states at 160 K (Figure S3) further supports this hypothesis. Previous studies by Boussac and co-workers have shown that the g = 4.1 EPR signal formed by near-IR illumination at 77–160 K is different from the g = 4.1 EPR signal formed by 200 K illumination in terms of its temperature stability. We propose that the changes in hydrogen-bonding networks above the observed glass transition may be responsible for this behavior.

To study the kinetics of decay of the S1QA− state to the S1QA state by charge recombination, dark-adapted PSII samples containing the secondary acceptor, QA site inhibitor DCMU, were illuminated at 200 K. Under these conditions, both the g = 4.1 and 2.0 EPR signals were observed (Figure S5A). After an initial EPR spectrum was obtained, the same sample was incubated in total darkness at 218–258 K and the rates at which the g = 4.1 and 2.0 EPR signals decay were determined (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). The g = 4.1 EPR signal was found to decay faster than the g = 2.0 signal.

Arrhenius analysis of the rate constants provides an activation barrier for charge recombination of 6.3 ± 0.3 kcal mol−1 for the S2 state g = 4.1 spin isomer and 10.5 ± 0.9 kcal mol−1 for the S2 state g = 2.0 spin isomer (Figure S5B).

These experiments revealed two key energetic features of the S2 state spin isomers: (1) conversion of the S = 5/2 isomer to the S = 1/2 isomer is exergonic at temperatures >160 K and (2) the S = 1/2 isomer has an activation barrier approximately 67% higher for charge recombination from S2QA− to form S1QA than that of the S = 5/2 isomer.

Several computational chemistry groups have estimated the relative energetics of the S2 state spin isomers. Pantazis, Neese, and co-workers first used QM calculations to determine that the S = 1/2 isomer was more stable than the S = 5/2 isomer by 0.42–1.64 kcal mol−1. Similar results have been shown by Yamaguchi and co-workers (1.3 kcal mol−1) and Kaila and co-workers (1.1 kcal mol−1). Guidoni et al. have used QM/MM–molecular dynamics methods to determine that the ΔG at 298 K between the S2 state isomers is 1.1 kcal mol−1, with

Figure 2. Kinetics of S2 state conversion. (A) Only the g = 4.1 EPR signal is formed upon illumination at 135 K, as shown in the light — dark spectrum (top trace). During incubation in darkness at the representative temperature of 170 K in the presence of PPBQ, the g = 4.1 EPR signal decreases in intensity and the g = 2.0 EPR signal increases in intensity. Unsubtracted spectra are shown in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. (B) Normalized peak-to-peak height of the g = 4.1 EPR signal vs. incubation time. Data were fit to a single exponential decay function \( y = y_0 + A \exp(-x/t) \) (dotted lines). (C) Arrhenius treatment of the data in (B). Error bars represent standard error of the fit. \( E_a = 6.7 \pm 0.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}, A = 1.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{0} \text{ s}^{-1}, R^2 = 0.9867. \)

Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the equilibrium between S2 states. van’t Hoff treatment of \( K_{eq} \) (defined as \( [g = 2.0]/[g = 4.1] \)) shows two distinct linear regions. Fitted parameters are described in the text.
the $S = 1/2$ isomer being more stable. Using QM/MM methods and the models described in the Methods, we find that the energy of the $S = 1/2$ isomer is 0.84 kcal mol$^{-1}$ lower than that of the $S = 5/2$ isomer. All of these theoretical findings are in excellent agreement with the experimental results in this work ($\Delta G = -0.69 \pm 0.14$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ at 298 K).

The kinetics of formation of the $S_2$ state and its conversion from the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer to the $S = 5/2$ spin isomer and vice versa have been harder to determine computationally, as the transition involves a change in both the spin state and geometry. The reaction barriers that we measure provide this vital information needed to delineate the PSII water-oxidation reaction coordinate.

These data suggest that in higher plant PSII at ambient temperature (298 K) approximately 75% of the $S_2$ state population is in the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer form, whereas approximately 25% is in the $S = 5/2$ spin isomer form. The excess $S_2$ state population in the $S = 1/2$ isomer form decays more slowly to $S_1$ via charge recombination with $Q_A^-$. Previously, we and others have suggested that the $S_3$ state is formed via the $S_2$ state $S = 5/2$ isomer. This seems to be in contradiction to the fact that the equilibrium between the $S_2$ spin isomers clearly favors the $S = 1/2$ isomer at 298 K. However, depending on environmental light conditions, cyanobacteria, algae, and plants must carefully balance the thermodynamics of OEC advancement and charge recombination. The distribution of $S_2$ state spin isomers may thus play a role in regulating photosynthetic efficiency under light-limited conditions. The majority of the $S_2$ state population remains in the stabilized low-spin isomer form that has a slower $S_2Q_A^-$ charge recombination. A minority of the $S_2$ state population is in the reactive high-spin isomer form that has a faster $S_2Q_A^-$ charge recombination but can advance to the $S_3$ state. Balancing these two populations can thus tune the overall efficiency of PSII. Pantazis and co-workers and Guidoni and co-workers

**Figure 4.** QM/MM optimized structures of the $S_1$ state and the two spin isomers of the $S_2$ state. Only the $g = 4.1$ EPR signal corresponding to the $S = 5/2$ spin isomer is formed at 135 K, but it spontaneously converts to the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer at temperatures >160 K. The second-shell water molecule, $W_x$, is circled in each structure. $W_x$ is a hydrogen-bond donor to the OEC in the $S_1$ state and the $S = 5/2$ spin isomer of the $S_2$ state but not to the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer of the $S_2$ state. Mn$^{3+}$ ions are shown in purple; Mn$^{4+}$, in lavender; Ca$^{2+}$, in orange; and O$_2^-$, in red.

**Figure 5.** Kinetics of decay of $S_2Q_A^-$ to $S_1Q_A^-$. (A) Both the $g = 4.1$ and 2.0 EPR signals are formed upon illumination at 200 K, as shown in the light–dark spectrum (top trace). During incubation in darkness at the representative temperature of 218 K in the presence of DCMU, the $g = 4.1$ EPR signal decreases faster than the $g = 2.0$ EPR signal. Unsubtracted spectra are shown in Figure S5 of the Supporting Information. (B) Arrhenius analysis of the decay kinetics of $S_2Q_A^-$ to $S_1Q_A^-$. For the $g = 4.1$ EPR signal (circles), $E_a = 6.3 \pm 0.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, $A = 3.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$, and $R^2 = 0.9924$. For the $g = 2.0$ EPR signal (squares), $E_a = 10.5 \pm 0.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, $A = 8.8 \pm 1.1 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$, $R^2 = 0.9699$. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b00110 J. Phys. Chem. B 2017, 121, 1020–1025
have used computational methods to show that the relative $S_2$ spin isomer populations change when $Y_Z$ is oxidized.\textsuperscript{41,44} Although the influence of $Y_Z$ is outside the scope of this study, it is likely an important factor in the $S_2$ to $S_3$ transition.

\section*{Conclusions}

We have determined the energetics of the $S_2$ state spin isomers (summarized in Figure 6). The $S_2$ state spin isomer conversion ($S^\pm$) energy of $S_2$ state spin isomer conversion, $S_2$ state spin isomer conversion in D$_2$O, and $S_{2Q}^-$ decay data at 218–258 K (PDF)

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6}
  \caption{Compiled energetics schemes for the (A) conversion of the $S_2$ state $S = 5/2$ isomer to the $S_1$ state $S = 1/2$ isomer and (B) decay of the $S_{2Q}^-$ state to $S_1Q_\alpha$ for both $S_2$ state spin isomers. The double dagger symbol denotes a transition state.}
\end{figure}

experiments reveal that the free-energy difference between the two ground spin states is $0.69 \pm 0.14$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ at 298 K, with the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer being more stable. $S_{2Q}^-$ decay experiments reveal that the $S = 5/2$ spin isomer has a lower activation barrier for charge recombination to form $S_{1Q}^-$ than the $S = 1/2$ spin isomer. These differences support the hypotheses that the $S_3$ state is formed from the $S_2$ state $S = 5/2$ spin isomer and that the stabilized $S_2$ state $S = 1/2$ spin isomer plays a role in minimizing $S_{2Q}^-$ decay under light-limiting conditions. These findings provide experimental insights into the mechanism of natural water oxidation.
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